





# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspro.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,730	0,730 02/22/2002		Labros S. Petropoulos	33356US1	8347	
116	7590	04/10/2003				
PEARNE &	GORDO	ON LLP	EXAMINER			
526 SUPERIO SUITE 1200				FETZNER, TIFFANY A		
CLEVELAND, OH 44114-1484				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2859	2859	
				DATE MAILED: 04/10/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/080,730

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

Petropoulos et al.,

		Tiffany Fetzner	2859	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addres	s
	for Reply			
IHE	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
11101111	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In g date of this communication.			from the
- Failur - Any r	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the sply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the date of the status of the set	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin	g date of this communic	cation.
Status	2 Patent term adjustment. See 37 CFN 1.704(b).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 22, 2	2002		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This act	tion is non-final.		•
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosec rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 (	cution as to the i	merits is
	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	is/are	pending in the a	pplication.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn fron	n consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u>	<u>,                                      </u>	s/are rejected.	
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	i	s/are objected to	).
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restrict	ion and/or electi	on requirement.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)💢	The drawing(s) filed onFeb 22, 2002 is/are	a) accepted or b) accepted or b)	to by the Exam	iner.
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the de	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved t	o) 🗆 disapproved	by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(	d) or (f).	
	All b) Some* c) None of:			į
	Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have B. D Copies of the certified copies of the priority do			· ·
	B. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea se the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	his National Stag	ge
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p			
a)		application has been received		
15) 「△	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic ;	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.	
Attachme	nt(s)		· <b>- · ·</b>	
	ice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No	(s)	
	ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (P)	O-152)	
الا XIIInfo	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	6) Other:		



Art Unit: 2859

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to because **Figures 2a, 2b, 3, 4, 8,** and **14** are just columns of numerical data, without any specification, (i.e. heading, table, legend, or key) to identify what the data represents, or to which coil configuration(s) the data is related to. The examiner suggests applicant's amend Figures 2a, 2b, 3, 4, 8, and 14 to include a heading, table, legend, or key) so that Figures 2a, 2b, 3, 4, 8, and 14 can be easily equated with the components of the invention from which the numerical data was obtained. Miscellaneous, unidentified data is not a proper figure, and is not easily referenced by an individual reading applicant's disclosure. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:



Art Unit: 2859

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lampman, US patent 5,497,089.
- 5. With respect to Claim 1, Lampman teaches and shows "An MRI gradient coil set, said coil set comprising: a uniplanar Z-gradient coil," [See figure 3 which shows that the windings for the z-axis of the insertable gradient coil shown in Figure 2 occur within a single plane, and col. 4 line 12 through col. 5 line 31.] Lampman also teaches and shows "a biplanar X-gradient coil; and a biplanar Y-gradient coil" [See figure 5, col. 7 line 66 through col. 8 line 9, where the windings for the x-gradients, and y-gradients are shown to be biplanar] Additionally, Lampman shows "said gradient coil set providing an open z-axis face." (I.e. a vertical opening along the z-axis) [See Figure 2, where the patient is inserted into a vertical, open face of the gradient coil, along the z-axis.]
- 6. With respect to Claim 2, Lampman teaches and suggests "at least one of said coils is a shielded coil", because Lampman teaches the presence of an RF shield 46 between the insertable RF and insertable gradient coils, and consequently at least one of the insertable gradient coils is a functionally shielded coil. [See col. 3 lines 54-59]
- 7. With respect to Claim 3, Lampman teaches and shows "said biplanar coils include shoulder reliefs." [See Figures 2, 5, 6, 8, and 1; abstract, col. 8 lines 10-40.]
- 8. With respect to Claim 4, Lampman teaches and suggests "at least one of said coils is a phased array coil", because the windings for the insertable axial z-gradient coil constitute an array



Art Unit: 2859

of windings, as shown in Figure 3, and Lampman teaches that the current density varies only along the axial direction of the z coil. [See col. 4 lines 36-38]. Lampman teaches that each winding is a discrete loop, at a distance from the isocenter and that the end sections of windings are tilted by an angle theta relative to the z-axis. The tilting of the windings by an angle theta, directly suggests that in sections 62 and 68 of figure 1 the current flowing through the windings along the z-axis, have a different phase due to the tilting angle theta than the windings that occur in section 60 of Figure 2. It is well known that a group of windings is also considered to be an array of windings, therefore the arrangement of the windings of the z-gradient insertable coil suggests, and shows that the z-gradient insertable coil functions as a "phased array coil". [See col. 4 line 63 through col. 5 line 31. Figures 2 and 3.]

- 9. With respect to Claim 5, Lampman teaches "a radio frequency coil integrated therewith." [See RF coil 44, col. 3 lines 54-59] The same reasons for rejection, obviousness, and motivation to combine that apply to claim 1 also apply to claim 5.
- 10. With respect to Claim 6, Lampman shows and suggests that "said coils are conjoined", (I.e. that the gradient coils are brought together so as to meet, or overlap). [See Figure 5, col. 5 line 32 through col. 8 line 9, Figure 4.]

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tiffany Fetzner** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-0430**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:00am to 4:30pm., and on alternate Friday's from 7:00am to 3:30pm.



Art Unit: 2859

- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Diego Gutierrez**, can be reached on **(703) 308-3875**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **(703)305-3432**.
- 13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

THAY A. togre

April 7, 2003

Diego Gutierrez Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800